

Minutes of Ashton PC Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Wednesday 27th May 2020 10.30am

Present

Bernard Day, Jeremy Roychoudhury, Alan Beber, Glenn Otto.

216. Apologies

Paul Joyce, Sarah MacAllister.

217. Declarations of interest

None.

218. Previous Minutes

Approved.

219. Matters Arising

None.

220. Feedback from last APC meeting

None.

221. Review & Action Regulation 16 Examiner's Report

Questions of Clarification to the PC and SNC - (Michael Wellock's responses in RED, ours in BLUE).

1. I understand SNC has not adopted the NCC's car parking standards. How do they differ from any car parking standards applied by SNC? Why does Policy ANDP8 not use SNC's standards?
This is a factual error and should refer to SNC's SPD "Parking Standards & Design".
2. Please could I be provided with a copy of Village Design Statement (VDS) or a link to it?
Link provided:
<https://www.southnorthants.gov.uk/downloads/download/662/village-design-guides-and-guidance-notes>
3. I would like to invite comments on the representation from SNC's Senior Conservation Officer with regard to Policy ANDP7 and to particularly ask whether any work on the VDS and the significant buildings has been updated as part of the work on the Plan.
No further technical work has been undertaken on the VDS or "other significant buildings".
4. SNC comment that the village confines shown on Map A differ from that put forward in the Local Plan Part 2. I invite comments on this. **The only difference I can spot is highlighted in the box on the first of the two maps below. Map A went through numerous iterations –was this intentional? If not, I suggest we defer to the Local Plan Part 2A map.**
This was agreed.

5. Would it be useful to identify all the sites referred to in Policy ANDP2 on a map? I do not consider that the reference to “various sites” necessarily gives the requisite certainty in terms of providing a practical framework for decision making. If agreed this would be useful, please provide map(s) of the sites concerned and referred to in this policy. These can be ‘rough and ready’ at this stage.

Not sure about this one. Not knowing the distribution of the sites, my concern is that the final paragraph of ANDP2 allows for other uses on these sites if they can be evidenced e.g. housing. This may lead to a number of small housing developments dotted about. Can you let me know how you would wish to proceed?

We agreed to submit the Parish Boundary Map A on P35 of the Plan, with appropriate sites marked. Since drafting of the Plan, Seima Construction have moved out of the Village, and ARH Developments only have a site which is outside the Parish boundary. Therefore only Komcept, Arrowsmith’s Farm and Pickering’s need to be shown.

222. Response to Correspondence

None.

223. Items for next meeting

TBC.

224. Date of next meeting

TBA.